# ixia

# IxChariot Virtualization Performance Test Plan

# **Test Methodologies**

The following test plan gives a brief overview of the trend toward virtualization, and how lxChariot can be used to validate and optimize a virtualization installation. There are five tests described using two virtualization servers, and three scenarios that use the tests with different virtualization setups.

# Table of Contents

Introduction	. 4
1. TCP Throughput	. 5
2. UDP Throughput	. 7
3. Response Time	. 8
4. Enterprise Application Performance	.10
5. Performance vs. Buffer Size	.12
Special Considerations for Virtual Environments	.13
6. Assessing the Impact of Virtual NIC Settings	.14
7. Maximizing VMs per CPU	.14
8. Assigning Multiple NICs	.15

# Introduction

Data center virtualization is one of the most important IT paradigm shifts in decades. By virtualizing the hardware/software interface, the applications are freed from the constraints of the underlying hardware platform. In this new data center model hardware resources -- even server-grade platforms -- become a commodity that can be replaced or upgraded with no impact on mission-critical services.

A virtualized data center architecture results in more efficient hardware utilization and a reduced load on the IT staff by reducing both capital and operational expenditures. At the same time, the virtualization software introduces new variables with respect to server performance, which must be taken into consideration before migrating services to the virtual environment. For example, a company might not migrate all database servers to virtual architectures due to addition latency and storage access issues that lead to vast reductions in end user quality of experience (QoE).

Many hardware and software vendors are attempting to reduce the impact of the virtual software layer on network services. Virtualization software vendors constantly optimize their code to remove bottlenecks between the guest OS and the host hardware.. Virtualization "hooks" built into the hardware can streamline the performance when running under a virtualized environment.

This test plan outlines a number of basic tests that compare and quantify the performance changes expected during a migration. The tests are executable on both traditional and virtualized infrastructure components. This plan is designed to help you easily evaluate the applicability of different vendors' hardware and software performance optimizations on your virtualized services.

Test Cases	Objective
1. TCP Throughput	Assess peak TCP throughput
2. UDP Throughput	Assess peak UDP throughput
3. Response Time	Measure latency introduced by virtualization
4. Enterprise Application Performance	Use simulated real-world enterprise application traffic to gauge the impact of virtualization
5. Performance vs. Buffer Size	Evaluate network performance over a range of buffer sizes
6. Performance with Different Types of NIC	Determine the impact of virtual environment and virtual device configuration on performance
7. Performance vs. Number of VM's per CPU	Find the optimum virtualization machines (VMs) to processor ratio for peak network performance
8. Performance with multiple NICs/VM	Understand the effect of multiple network interface cards (NICs) on the performance of virtual applications

Table 1 summarizes the IxChariot test set discussed in this test plan.

# 1. TCP Throughput

Total TCP throughput indicates the maximum network throughput of a system or network. Since TCP is the protocol of choice for most network services, it is highly optimized by the operating system stack, device drivers and hardware. Solid TCP bulk performance requires high-speed memory and tight integration between the NIC and the device drivers. This integration must extend through the virtualization layer to achieve optimal performance in a virtualized system.

#### Objective

Determine the maximum network throughput (Mbps) of the system using TCP.

#### Setup

The setup for the TCP throughput test is the foundation for the other tests. In this test setup:

- Two physical servers each run one VM,
- The VM network interface is bridged directly to the NIC on the physical host, and
- An IxChariot Performance Endpoint agent is installed on each VM.

Leave any additional VMs in a standby or off state for the test to get the cleanest baseline results. In test 7 we will investigate the impact of multiple VMs. Running tests one through five on an unvirtualized system using the same hardware and operating systems as the virualized system provides a good performance comparison.



Figure 1: Basic Test Scenario for Tests 1 through 5.

#### **Input Parameters**

Parameter	Description
IxChariot Script	High_performance_throughput.scr (100 Mbps-1G) Or Ultra_high_performance_throughput.scr (10G)

#### Table 2. Test Parameters

#### **Test Methodology**

- 1. In the IxChariot console, create a single endpoint pair using the test VMs' IP addresses.
- 2. Select TCP from the Network Protocol drop-down menu.
- 3. Select the high\_performance\_throughput.scr script for networks running at 100M to 1Gbps, or the ultra\_high\_performance\_throughput.scr script for networks running at 10Gbps, as shown in Figure 2.
- 4. Run the test.

Add an Endpoint P	Pair	<
Pair comment: Test	1. TCP Throughput	"
Endpoint 1 to Endpo	oint 2 Traffic	
Endpoint 1 address	192.168.1.1	]
Endpoint 2 address	192.168.1.2	]
Network protocol	Service quality	
TCP	<b>•</b>	
<u>E</u> dit This Script Select <u>S</u> cript Management >>	Ultra_High_Performance_Throughput.scr Ultra High Performance Throughput	
<u> </u>	el <u>H</u> elp	

Figure 2. Pair configuration dialog for Test 1.

#### Results

- 1. Figure 3 shows a graph from a typical IxChariot TCP throughput test displaying an average throughput of 939.629 Mbps. It also shows the minimum and maximum values.
- 2. After running this test, click on the Raw Data Totals tab to see the CPU utilization at each endpoint.

	Fest - unti	tled1.tst									_ 🗆 🔀
<u>E</u> le <u>E</u> dit ⊻	jew <u>R</u> un	<u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> indo	w <u>H</u> elp								
i 🗋 🥔 🖬		r 🔍 🗃	le 🗈 📖 🚧		IA'						
1 % 🕺 🛱	-C 4	🏟 📝 🔏	🛱 🚱 🎍 🗛	TCP SCR EP1 E	EP2 SQ PG P	•c 🔝 😭		2 Z 3	8 8 8		
8 2 2	🖹 🎇 💋										
Test Setup T	hroughput	Transaction Ra	te   Response Tim	e Raw Data Tot	tals Endpoint (	Configuration					
Group	Pa Na	airGroup ame Run Sl	atus Timing Rec Comple	ords 95% Confid ated Int	lence Average terval (Mbps)	Minimum (Mbps)	Maximum (Mbps)	Measured Time (sec)	Relative Precision		<u>~</u>
📃 All Pa	airs			100	939.629	930.233	341.176				
🛍 i	Pair 1 No	Group Finishe	3	100 -0.220:+0	J.220 941.068	\$ 930.233	941.176	8.501	0.023		
											~
<											<u>&gt;</u>
Throug	hput										
997.50 T	-										
ľ					1						
800.00 -											
800.00 - g 600.00 -											
800.00 - s 600.00 - 40 400.00 -											
800.00 - st. 600.00 - W 400.00 - 200.00 -											
800.00 - <u>600.00 -</u> 400.00 - 200.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 -	100		2000	0.0	D-04		0:00:06			000086	
800.00 - <u>600.00 -</u> 400.00 - 200.00 - 0.00 - 0.00 -	100		0.00.02	0.0 Elapsed	0:04 d time (h:mm:	:55)	0:00:06			0.00.08.6	()     (

Figure 3. Results from a typical TCP performance test run.

# 2. UDP Throughput

Like the TCP throughput test, UDP throughput is a key indicator of basic network performance. Expect slightly lower results since UDP is not typically optimized like TCP (especially on 10G networks), due to differences in TCP and UDP datagram generation. Applications generate UDP datagrams a few kilobytes at a time, whereas NIC hardware copies and packetizes TCP data in megabyte-sized chunks.

#### Objective

Determine the maximum network throughput (in Mbps) of the system using UDP.

#### Setup

Use the TCP throughput test setup.

#### **Input Parameters**

 Parameter
 Description

 IxChariot Script
 udp\_throughput.scr

 Table 3. Test Parameters

#### **Test Methodology**

- 1. In the IxChariot console, create a single endpoint pair using the test VMs' IP addresses.
- 2. Select the udp\_throughput.scr script.
- 3. If you are using jumbo frames, you edit the script and increase the send\_buffer\_size value to maximize the throughput. For example, with 1500 byte MTUs the maximum size is 1472 before fragmentation occurs, and on 9000-byte MTUs it's 8972. If VLANs are defined, reduce the number to be safe (for example, to 1460 or 8960).
- 4. Select UDP from the Network Protocol drop-down menu.
- 5. Run the test.

Edit an Endpoint Pair	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
Pair comment: Test 1. UDP Throughput	
Endpoint 1 to Endpoint 2 Traffic	
Endpoint 1 address 192.168.1.180	•
Endpoint 2 address 192.168.1.181	•
Network protocol	Service quality
UDP 👤	
Edit This Scriptudp_throughput.scrSelect ScriptUnlimited StreamingManagement >>	
<u> </u>	

Figure 4. Pair configuration dialog for Test 2.

#### Results

- 1. Figure 5 shows a graph from a typical IxChariot UDP throughput test with an average throughput of 956.585 Mbps. It also shows the minimum and maximum values.
- 2. After running this test, click on the Raw Data Totals tab to see the CPU utilization for each endpoint.



Figure 5. Results from a typical UDP performance test run.

# 3. Response Time

IxChariot's response time test (sometimes called a "ping-pong" test) sends 100 bytes from Endpoint 1 to Endpoint 2, which responds by sending another 100 byte packet back. By comparing the response time of two different systems, you can determine the latency incurred by adding a virtualization layer. The test indicates how virtualization impacts "chatty" network applications such as databases.

#### **Objective**

Determine the response time, or round-trip latency, between Endpoint 1 and Endpoint 2.

#### Setup

Use the TCP throughput test setup.

#### **Input Parameters**

Parameter	Description
IxChariot Script	Response_time.scr

Table 4. Test Parameters

#### **Test Methodology**

- 1. In the IxChariot console, create a single endpoint pair using the test VMs' IP addresses.
- 2. Select the Response\_Time.scr script.
- 3. Select the TCP protocol from the Network Protocol drop-down menu.
- 4. Run the test.
- 5. The test finishs very quickly and pops-up a warning message similar to the one shown in Figure 6. To correct this warning, modify the script variable Loop Count as shown in Figure 7.

IxC IxChariot 1	Fest - untitled1.tst								- 🗆 X
Eile Edit V	iew <u>R</u> un <u>T</u> ools <u>W</u>	indow <u>H</u> elp							
i 🗋 🥔 🖬	। 🛃 अ 😐 🗷	- 🕹 🚨 🕯	NIXIX						
i 🐂 🕺 🛱	4	🎍 🚑 🔯 📱	ALL TOP SOR EP1 EP2 SQ F	G PC 🔙	🔒 i 🛛 🖓 i	2 2 2 2			
8 2 2	📽 🧐								
Test Setup T	hroughput Transaction	n Rate Response	Time Raw Data Totals Endp	oint Configural	ion				
Group	Pair Group Name Ru	n Status	Timing Records Completed Endpoint 1	Endpoint 2	Network Service Protocol Quality	Script/Stream Filename	Pair Comment	Console knows Endpoint 1	Console Protocol
🖂 All Pa	airs	IxChariot: Wa	ning Message(s)	_		×			
<b>M</b>	Pairl No Group Fin	The follo	wing warning(s) occurred:				est 1. UDP Throu	ighput 10.200.134.180	TCP r
		CHR0336: A tir	ning record was received with a	measured time	between 1 and 20 m	<u>M</u> essage Help Show <u>D</u> etails			
<					>				>
		To see the help press the Messa	message for a warning, double-c ge Help button.	lick the warnir	g, or select the warning	ng and		Legend	
Throug	hput	To see additiona	I details for a warning, select the	warning and p	ress the Show Detail	s button.		— Pair 1 - Test 1. UD	P Throughpi 🔨
16.800 - 15.000 -		<u>ο</u> κ							
12.000 -									
<u>원</u> 9.000 -			V						
₽ 6.000 -									
3.000 -									
0.000 -									
0:00	:00 0:	00:00.05	0:00:00.10	0:00:00.15	0:00	0.00.20	0:00:00.25		~
			Elapsed time (h:	mm:ss)				< ]	
Pairs: 1	Start: 2/17/2009, 16	:56:06	Ixia Configuration	n: End: 2/	17/2009, 16:56:07	Run time: 00:00:01	Ran to completion	1	

Figure 6. IxChariot CHR0336 warning message

E Sc	<b>ript Editor - Respon</b> Edit Insert Help	se_Time.scr	_		- 🗆 X
$\checkmark$	[Response Time]			< →1 + + <i>≥</i> % <b>⊑</b>	
Line	Endpoint 1		Endpoin	12	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUEEP time = insid_delay time = insid_delay convECT_INITIAT pott = source_pott end_builer = DEF receive_builter = DEF court = number_of LOOP Court = inside START_TIMER LOOP StarT_TIMER LOOP Sta	(0) EAUTO) AUTO) AUTO EFAULT Liming_records (1 EFAULT ann_per_record (2 send_to_send (1 ann_per_record (2 send_buffer (DE (100) e (100)	CONNE poti - send, receiv 200 count 200 count	Edit Parameter Parameter Current value S00 Current value S0 Comment Transactions per limitig record Variable help Variable help Variable help Comment Transactions per limitig record Variable help Comment Comment Transactions per limitig record Variable help Comment Comment Transactions per limitig record Comment Co	
25	END_LOOP		END_		
Variat	ole Name	Current Value	Default Value	Comment	
initial	delay.	0	0	Pause before the first transaction	-
numbe transa size_c inquiry inquiry delay_ reply_ reply_	er_of_timing_records actions_per_record of_record_to_send send_buffer o_receive_buffer _before_responding size send_buffer	50 50 DEFAULT DEFAULT 0 100 DEFAULT	50 50 DEFAULT DEFAULT 0 100 DEFAULT	How many timing records to generate Transactions per timing record Amount of data to be sent How many bytes of data in each FIECEIVE How many bytes of data in each FIECEIVE Milliscondt to wast before responding Amount of data to be sent How many bytes of data in each SEND	

Figure 7. Changing the Loop Count variable to correct the CHR0336 warning

#### Results

Figure 8 shows the transaction rate for the test was 10,580.667 transactions per second. Inverting this value (1/10580.667) calculates the response time at about 94.5 microseconds. Look in the Response Time tab to see the response time is shown as 0.000 seconds. IxChariot cannot display values below 1 millisecond.



Figure 8. Results from a typical response time test.

### 4. Enterprise Application Performance

IxChariot uses enterprise application scripts that simulate real-world application traffic to measure the impact of latency and virtualization. In this case we'll use Citrix as an example, but many other scripts are available. Using IxProfile, you can also make your own scripts simulating custom applications.

#### **Objective**

Determine Citrix system login time with Endpoint 1 acting as the client and Endpoint 2 acting as the Citrix server.

#### Setup

Use the TCP throughput test setup.

#### **Input Parameters**

Parameter	Description
IxChariot Script	Citrix_ICA_Terminal_Server_Logon.scr

Table 5. Test Parameters

#### **Test Methodology**

- 1. In the IxChariot console, create a single endpoint pair using the test VMs' IP addresses.
- 2. Select the Citrix\_ICA\_Terminal\_Server\_Logon.scr script.
- 3. Select TCP from the Network Protocol drop-down menu.
- 4. Run the test.

Edit an Endpoint P	air	
Pair comment: Test	4. Enterprise Application	on Performance
Endpoint 1 to Endpo	oint 2 Traffic	
Endpoint 1 address	192.168.1.180	•
Endpoint 2 address	192.168.1.181	•
Network protocol		Service quality
TCP	•	▼
<u>E</u> dit This Script Select <u>S</u> cript	Citrix_ICA_Terminal_ Citrix, ICA Terminal S	Server_Logon.scr Gerver Logon
Management >>		
<u> </u>	el <u>H</u> elp	

Figure 9. Choosing the Citrix Logon script from the Business/Citrix folder.

#### **Results**

Figure 8 shows the transaction rate for this test at 2.069 seconds. Each login transaction took two seconds on this network.

IxChariot Test -	untitled1.tst										
<u>E</u> ile <u>E</u> dit ⊻iew	<u>R</u> un <u>T</u> ools	<u>W</u> indow	Help								
1 🗋 💋 📕 🖂	🕫 😳	2 %	🗈 🔍 🎽		D XIXIA						
5 🎗 🚊 🥰	a 🖉 🏹	la 11	🚱 🦞 🗛	LL TOP	SCR EP1 EP2	SQ PG PC 🦉	🖸 🗄 🗄 🖉	K 🛛 🖀 🖁			
8 ? ? %	1										
Test Setup Through	hput   Transact	ion Rate	Response Ti	me   F	aw Data Totals	Endpoint Configur	ation				
Group	Pair Group Name F	Run Status	Timing Re Comp	cords pleted	95% Confidence Interv	e Response Time al Average	Response Time Minimum	Response Time Maximum	Measured Time (sec)	Relative Precision	
🖃 All Pairs				30		2.069	2.041	2.115			
🛄 Sama Pair	INo Group F	inished		30	-0.008 : +0.00	18 2.069	2.041	2.115	62.061	0.393	
											Legend
Response t	lime										Legend — Pair 1 - Test 4. Enterprise Apple
Response t	lime										Legend Pair 1 - Test 4. Enterprise Appl
Response 1 2.2100 2.1600	lime										Legend Pair 1 Test 4 Enterprise Appli
<u>Response t</u> 2.2100 2.1600	lime										Legend Poir 1 - Test 4. Enterprise Appli
Response 1	time										Legend Pair 1 Test 4. Enterprise Appli
Response 1 22100 21600 21600 20000	time								A		Legend Pair 1 Test 4. Enterprise Appl
C Response 1 22100 21600 21600 2000 2000 2040	time								$\bigwedge$		Legend — Pair 1 - Test 4. Enterprise Appl
C Response 1 22100 21600 2000 20000 20000 20000	time 	210		20	0.00	30 0.	00:40	0.00.50	$\bigwedge$	0.01:03	Legend — Pair 1 - Test 4. Enterprise Appl
E Response 1 22100 21600 20000 20000 20000 0.00.00	time	210	0.00	.20	0.00 Elapsed t	30 0: ime (h:mm:ss)	00.40	0.00.50		0.01:03	Legend Pair 1 - Test 4. Enterprise Apple

Figure 10. Results from an enterprise application test.

## 5. Performance vs. Buffer Size

A common variation of Test 1 measures TCP performance across a range of application send buffer sizes. This test shows how different applications perform on a system or network. An application such as FTP may use very large buffers (64KB-1MB) to send data on the network, whereas an application such as HTTP typically sends much smaller files (20KB-50KB). Applications such as Microsoft Exchange may send buffers in the tens to hundreds of bytes.

### Objective

Calculate the TCP throughput over a range of application sent buffer sizes.

#### Setup

Use the TCP throughput test setup.

#### **Input Parameters**

Parameter

IxChariot Script

Description

Response\_time.scr

#### Table 6. Test Parameters

#### **Test Methodology**

1. Use the methodology sequence from Test 1.

2. Modify the IxChariot script as shown in Figure 11 to adjust the send\_buffer\_size for each test run.

IxChariot Test - untitled1.tst Ele Edit <u>Vi</u> ew <u>R</u> un <u>T</u> ools <u>Wi</u> ndow <u>H</u> elp	Script Editor - High_Performance_Throughput.scr	I X X
	File Edit Insert Help           High         Edit Parameter           High         Edit Parameter	
Test Setup Throughput Transaction Rate Response Tim Pair Sorup Transaction Rate Response Tim Coroup Edit an Endpoint Pair	Line         Endp         Parameter         Send Buffer Size         Image: Constant           1         SLEE         Variable name         send_buffer_size         Image: Constant           2         tim         Variable name         send_buffer_size         Image: Constant           3         CDN         Variable name         send_buffer_size         Image: Constant           4         prov         Current value         Constant Value         Image: Constant Value         Image: Constant Value	
Pair comment: [Test 5. TCP throughput at 16KB bull Endpoint 1 to Endpoint 2 Traffic Endpoint 1 address [1921681.180 Endpoint 2 address [1921681.180 Endpoint 2 address [1921681.181 Network protocol TCP      Edit This Script High_Performance_Throughpu     Select Script High_Performance Throughpu     21     Chromed Help	o LCO o	Legend est 4. Enterprise Appli
20000 0.00210 0.002	Variable Nan mittal, delayi number, d-Li, file_size     Comment Number, d-Li, Variable help       Variable help     Variable help       transactions, file_size     The SEND command has four variables: 1) how many bytes to send, 2) what size buffers to use on each SEND, 3) what type of data to send, and 4) the rate at which to send the data rot send, buffer, to send the data rot send, buffer, born on data to send, and 4) the rate at which to send the data rot sender, bit you chose "SEND 1000, 100, ZENDS, UNLIMITED." an endpoint would send 1000 bytes, 100 bytes at a time, with all zeros with the data the sender of the data to sender the data	E F

Figure 11. Adjusting the send\_buffer\_size to 16KB

#### Results

System 1 System 2 Throughput (Mbps) 16384 32768 65536 Application Send Buffer Size

Using Excel or another graphing tool, create a graphic from the results of the successive test runs similar to Figure 12.



# **Special Considerations for Virtual Environments**

The impact of virtualization on network performance is difficult to predict due to the broad range of applications and rapid advancements in virtualization technology. The major hypervisor vendors have made considerable efforts to optimize network performance, but each has its own unique characteristics. Hardware vendors also have worked hard to differentiate their virtualization products.

Many vendors claim their hardware works best with certain hypervisors, or provides better CPU usage benefits than their competition. With so much hype around virtualization technology, it's important to understand exactly how specific hardware, hypervisors and applications work together to deliver your users a high quality experience.

In Figure 13 shows a layered view of the virtual infrastructure. VMs are separated from the physical CPU resources by a layer of virtualized NICs, as well as a virtual switch. Each of these layers adds the possibility of increased latency and reduced throughput. Most systems are optimized for throughput, causing greatly reduced response times for latency dependent applications like databases.



Figure 13. Layered view of virtualization infrastructure.

The final section of this test plan suggests some specific variations on the original tests to explore specific areas pertinent to planning a successful migration to a virtualized environment.

# 6. Assessing the Impact of Virtual NIC Settings

Each major virtualization platform possesses its own combination of custom device drivers and networking extensions designed to minimize virtualization impact on network performance. For example, VMware has three different kinds of virtual NICs: AMD Lance, Intel e1000 and vmxnet -- each with its own benefits and drawbacks. Many Microsoft Hyper-V users find that disabling TCP offloading in guest OSes give the optimal performance.

Using the test methodologies shown in tests one through five, you can now accurately measure the impact of these settings on your virtual server.

#### **Objective**

TCP throughput, UDP throughput, response time and the percentage of difference achieved by selecting different NIC options.

## 7. Maximizing VMs per CPU

Some published tests show that certain vendors can optimize their platforms to support more VMs per CPU/core than others. Verifying the impact of running more than two to four VMs per CPU core is critical when sizing your virtual data center.

#### Objective

TCP throughput, UDP throughput, response time and the percentage of difference achieved by selecting different ratios of VMs and CPUs.

# 8. Assigning Multiple NICs

Many virtualization products allow each VM to use multiple NICs, and assign multiple physical NICs to a single virtual switch. One published paper found that multiple NICs on a single VM increased its overall performance. Using IxChariot and the tests defined in this test plan can verify if this strategy improves your virtual network performance.

#### Objective

TCP throughput, UDP throughput, response time and the percentage of difference achieved by using multiple virtual NICs per VM.

WHITE PAPER

# íxia

Ixia Worldwide Headquarters 26601 Agoura Rd. Calabasas, CA 91302

(Toll Free North America) 1.877.367.4942

(Outside North America) +1.818.871.1800 (Fax) 818.871.1805 www.ixiacom.com

#### Ixia European Headquarters

Ixia Technologies Europe Ltd Clarion House, Norreys Drive Maidenhead SL6 4FL United Kingdom

Sales +44 1628 408750 (Fax) +44 1628 639916

#### Ixia Asia Pacific Headquarters

21 Serangoon North Avenue 5 #04-01 Singapore 554864

Sales +65.6332.0125 Fax +65.6332.0127